

A Study on Lacunary Summability of Order α with respect to Modulus Function for Fuzzy Variables in Credibility Spaces

Ömer Kişi^{1,*} and Erhan Güler²

^{1,*}Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Bartın University, Turkey

ORCID ID 0000-0001-6844-3092

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Bartın University, Turkey

ORCID ID 0000-0003-3264-6239

^{*}(okisi@bartin.edu.tr) Email of the corresponding author

Abstract – The main aim of this study is to investigate strongly lacunary summable and lacunary statistically convergent fuzzy variable sequences (briefly FVS) by utilizing modulus functions f and s under some conditions and orders $\gamma, \rho \in (0,1]$ such that $\gamma \leq \rho$. In addition, we obtain some inclusion relations between these concepts.

Keywords – Lacunary Sequence, Lacunary Summability, Modulus Function, Fuzzy Variable Sequence, Credibility Space

I. INTRODUCTION

Fuzzy theory was pioneered by Zadeh [1] in 1965. A fuzzy variable (FV) is a function that maps from a credibility space to a set of real values. The convergence of FVs is a key component of credibility theory, which may be applied to real-world engineering and financial challenges. Kaufmann [2] has conducted research on FVs, possibility distributions, and membership functions. Several specific contents have been explored since Liu began his investigation of credibility theory (see [3-9]). Given the relevance of sequence convergence in credibility theory, Liu [5] proposed four forms of convergence concepts for FVs: credibility convergence, almost certainly convergence, mean convergence, and distribution convergence.

Fast [10] presented statistical convergence for real sequences as an extension of ordinary convergence. Gadjiev and Orhan [11] put forward the order of statistical convergence of a sequence of operators and then Çolak [12] worked the order of statistical convergence for a sequence of numbers. Lacunary statistical convergence was studied by Fridy and Orhan [13]. Significant

studies on this topic can be examined (see [14-15]). Nakano [16] investigated the idea of a modulus function. By utilizing a modulus function, several authors constructed new sequence spaces (see [17-20]).

A set function Cr is credibility measure if it provides the subsequent axioms: Let H be a nonempty set, and Θ be a nonempty set, and $\mathcal{P}(\Theta)$ be the power set of Θ (i.e., the largest algebra over Θ). All element in \mathcal{P} is named an event. For any $A \in \mathcal{P}(\Theta)$, Liu and Liu [6] presented a credibility measure $Cr(A)$ to indicate the chance that fuzzy event A occurs. Li and Liu [3] proved that a set function $Cr(\cdot)$ a credibility measure iff

Axiom i. $Cr(\Theta) = 1$;

Axiom ii. $Cr(A) \leq Cr(B)$ whenever $A \subset B$;

Axiom iii. Cr is self-dual, i.e., $Cr(A) + Cr(A^c) = 1$, for any $A \in \mathcal{P}(\Theta)$;

Axiom iv. $Cr\{\cup_i A_i\} = \sup_i Cr\{A_i\}$ for any collection $\{A_i\}$ in $\mathcal{P}(\Theta)$ with $\sup_i Cr\{A_i\} < 0.5$.

The triplet $(\Theta, \mathcal{P}(\Theta), Cr)$ is called a credibility space. A fuzzy variable is put forward by Liu and Liu [3] as function from the credibility space to the set of real numbers.

Now, we serve the concepts of investigate strongly lacunary summable and lacunary statistically convergent FVS by utilizing modulus functions f and s under some conditions and orders $\gamma, \rho \in (0,1]$ such that $\gamma \leq \rho$, and obtain some features of these concepts.

II. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we present the relations between $N_\theta^\gamma(s)$ and $N_\theta^\rho(f)$, $N_\theta^\rho(s)$ and $N_\theta^\gamma(f)$, $S_\theta^\rho(s)$ and $N_\theta^\gamma(f)$, $N_\theta^\rho(g)$ and $\ell_\infty \cap S_\theta^\gamma(f)$ for FVS in credibility spaces, where f and s are modulus functions under some conditions and $\gamma, \rho \in (0,1]$ such that $\gamma \leq \rho$. Throughout the article, let f, s be modulus functions, $\theta = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence, μ, μ_1, μ_2, \dots be fuzzy variables identified on credibility space $(\Theta, \mathcal{P}(\Theta), \text{Cr})$, and take $\gamma, \rho \in (0,1]$.

Definition 2.1. A FVS $\{\mu_k\}$ is named to be strongly $N_\theta^\gamma(f)$ -summable (or strongly f -lacunary summable) of order γ to the FV μ provided, there exists a $A \in \mathcal{P}(\Theta)$ such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r^\gamma} \sum_{k \in I_r} f(|\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)|) = 0$$

for all $\theta \in A$. In this case, we denote $\mu_k \rightarrow \mu(N_\theta^\gamma(f))$ or $N_\theta^\gamma(f) - \lim \mu_k = \mu$. The sets of strongly $N_\theta^\gamma(f)$ -summable FVS can be demonstrated by $N_\theta^\gamma(f)$. Namely,

$$N_\theta^\gamma(f) = \left\{ \{\mu_k\} : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r^\gamma} \sum_{k \in I_r} f(|\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)|) = 0 \text{ for some FV } \mu \right\}.$$

In this definition, we emphasize that the modulus function f need not to be unbounded.

Theorem 2.1. Assume f and s be modulus functions, $\gamma, \rho \in (0,1]$ so that $\gamma \leq \rho$. When

$$\sup_{w \in (0, \infty)} \frac{f(w)}{s(w)} < \infty$$

then $N_\theta^\gamma(s) \subset N_\theta^\rho(f)$.

Proof. Take $t = \sup_{w \in (0, \infty)} \frac{f(w)}{s(w)} < \infty$. At that time,

we get $0 < \frac{f(w)}{s(w)} \leq t$ and hence $f(w) \leq ts(w)$ for any $w \geq 0$. It is obvious that $t > 0$ and if $N_\theta^\gamma(s) - \lim \mu_k = \mu$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r^\gamma} \sum_{k \in I_r} f(|\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)|) \\ \leq \frac{1}{h_r^\gamma} \sum_{k \in I_r} ts(|\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)|) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\theta \in A$, where $A \in \mathcal{P}(\Theta)$. Since $\gamma \leq \rho$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r^\rho} \sum_{k \in I_r} f(|\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)|) \\ \leq t \frac{1}{h_r^\gamma} \sum_{k \in I_r} s(|\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)|) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\theta \in A$. Getting the limits on both sides as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we acquire that $\{\mu_k\} \in N_\theta^\gamma(s)$ gives $\{\mu_k\} \in N_\theta^\rho(f)$.

Remark 2.1. The following example demonstrates that the inclusion $N_\theta^\gamma(s) \subset N_\theta^\rho(f)$ is strict.

Example 2.1. Choose $\gamma = \rho = 1$ and identify FVS $\{\mu_k\}$ as μ_k to be $[\sqrt{h_r}]$ at the first $[\sqrt{h_r}]$ integers in I_r , and $\mu_k = 0$ if not. When we establish the modulus functions $f(w) = \frac{w}{w+1}$ and $s(w) = w$, then $\sup_{w \in (0, \infty)} \frac{f(w)}{s(w)} = 1 < \infty$ and so $N_\theta^\gamma(s) \subset N_\theta^\rho(f)$ by Theorem 2.1. With the aid of the $f(0) = 0$ equality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r^\rho} \sum_{k \in I_r} f(|\mu_k(\theta)|) &= \frac{1}{h_r} [\sqrt{h_r}] f([\sqrt{h_r}]) \\ &= \frac{[\sqrt{h_r}][\sqrt{h_r}]}{h_r([\sqrt{h_r}] + 1)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\theta \in A$. Getting the limits as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $N_\theta^\rho(f) - \lim \mu_k = 0$. Hence, $\{\mu_k\} \in N_\theta^\rho(f)$. However, since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r^\gamma} \sum_{k \in I_r} s(|\mu_k(\theta)|) &= \frac{1}{h_r} [\sqrt{h_r}] s([\sqrt{h_r}]) \\ &= \frac{[\sqrt{h_r}][\sqrt{h_r}]}{h_r} \end{aligned}$$

and $\frac{[\sqrt{h_r}][\sqrt{h_r}]}{h_r} \rightarrow 1$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\{\mu_k\} \notin N_\theta^\gamma(s)$. As a result $\{\mu_k\} \in N_\theta^\rho(f) - N_\theta^\gamma(s)$ and the inclusion $N_\theta^\gamma(s) \subset N_\theta^\rho(f)$ is strict.

Corollary 2.1. Assume f and s be modulus functions, $\gamma, \rho \in (0,1]$ so that $\gamma \leq \rho$.

1. When $\sup_{w \in (0,\infty)} \frac{f(w)}{s(w)} < \infty$, then $N_\theta^\gamma(s) \subset N_\theta^\gamma(f)$.
2. When $\sup_{w \in (0,\infty)} \frac{f(w)}{s(w)} < \infty$, then $N_\theta(s) \subset N_\theta(f)$.
3. $N_\theta^\gamma(f) \subset N_\theta^\rho(f)$.
4. $N_\theta^\gamma \subset N_\theta^\rho$.

Theorem 2.2. If

$$\inf_{w \in (0,\infty)} \frac{f(w)}{s(w)} > 0,$$

then $N_\theta^\gamma(f) \subset N_\theta^\rho(s)$ and the inclusion is strict.

Proof. Take $t = \inf_{w \in (0,\infty)} \frac{f(w)}{s(w)} > 0$. So that $\frac{f(w)}{s(w)} \geq t$ and $ts(w) \leq f(w)$ for all $w \geq 0$. If $N_\theta^\gamma(f) - \lim \mu_k = \mu$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r^\gamma} \sum_{k \in I_r} s(|\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)|) \\ \leq \frac{1}{h_r^\gamma} \sum_{k \in I_r} \frac{1}{t} f(|\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)|) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma \leq \rho$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r^\rho} \sum_{k \in I_r} s(|\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)|) \\ \leq \frac{1}{h_r^\gamma} \sum_{k \in I_r} \frac{1}{t} f(|\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)|). \end{aligned}$$

Getting the limits on both sides as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $N_\theta^\rho(s) - \lim \mu_k = \mu$ and so $\{\mu_k\} \in N_\theta^\rho(s)$. For the strict inclusion, the FVS of Example 2.1.

with functions $s(w) = \frac{w}{w+1}$ and $f(w) = w$ serve the purpose in the case $\gamma = \rho = 1$.

Corollary 2.2. Assume f and s are modulus functions, $\gamma, \rho \in (0,1]$ so that $\gamma \leq \rho$.

1. When $\inf_{w \in (0,\infty)} \frac{f(w)}{s(w)} > 0$, then $N_\theta^\gamma(f) \subset N_\theta^\gamma(s)$.
2. When $\inf_{w \in (0,\infty)} \frac{f(w)}{s(w)} > 0$, then $N_\theta(f) \subset N_\theta(s)$.
3. $N_\theta^\gamma(f) \subset N_\theta^\rho(f)$.
4. $N_\theta^\gamma \subset N_\theta^\rho$.

Corollary 2.3. If

$$0 < \inf_{w \in (0,\infty)} \frac{f(w)}{s(w)} \leq \sup_{w \in (0,\infty)} \frac{f(w)}{s(w)} < \infty$$

then $N_\theta^\gamma(f) = N_\theta^\gamma(s)$.

Corollary 2.4. If $\sup_{w \in (0,\infty)} \frac{f(w)}{w} < \infty$, then $N_\theta^\gamma \subset N_\theta^\rho(s)$ for any $\gamma, \rho \in (0,1]$ so that $\gamma \leq \rho$.

Corollary 2.5. If $\sup_{w \in (0,\infty)} \frac{f(w)}{w} < \infty$, then $N_\theta^\gamma \subset N_\theta^\gamma(f)$ for any $\gamma \in (0,1]$.

Corollary 2.6. If $\inf_{w \in (0,\infty)} \frac{f(w)}{w} > 0$, then $N_\theta^\gamma(f) \subset N_\theta^\rho$ for any $\gamma, \rho \in (0,1]$ such that $\gamma \leq \rho$.

Corollary 2.7. If $\inf_{w \in (0,\infty)} \frac{f(w)}{w} > 0$, then $N_\theta^\gamma(f) \subset N_\theta^\gamma$ for any $\gamma \in (0,1]$.

Corollary 2.8. If

$$0 < \inf_{w \in (0,\infty)} \frac{f(w)}{w} \leq \sup_{w \in (0,\infty)} \frac{f(w)}{w} < \infty,$$

then $N_\theta^\gamma(f) = N_\theta^\gamma$ for any $\gamma \in (0,1]$.

Theorem 2.3. When $\inf_{w \in (0,\infty)} \frac{f(w)}{s(w)} > 0$ and $\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s(w)}{w} > 0$, then all strongly $N_\theta^\gamma(f)$ -summable FVS is $S_\theta^\rho(s)$ -convergent.

Proof. Presume that $t = \inf_{w \in (0,\infty)} \frac{f(w)}{s(w)} > 0$. Then $\frac{f(w)}{s(w)} \geq t$ and hence $ts(w) \leq f(w)$ for all $w \geq 0$. If

$N_\theta^\gamma(f) - \lim \mu_k = \mu$ and $\gamma, \rho \in (0,1]$ so that $\gamma \leq \rho$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{h_r^\gamma} \sum_{k \in I_r} f(|\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)|) \\
& \geq t \frac{1}{h_r^\gamma} \sum_{k \in I_r} s(|\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)|) \\
& \geq t \frac{1}{h_r^\rho} \sum_{k \in I_r} s(|\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)|) \\
& = t \frac{1}{h_r^\rho} \sum_{k \in I_r} s(|\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)|) \\
& \quad \quad \quad \begin{matrix} |\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)| \geq \varepsilon \\ |\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)| < \varepsilon \end{matrix} \\
& = t \frac{1}{h_r^\rho} \sum_{k \in I_r} s(|\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)|) \\
& \quad \quad \quad \begin{matrix} |\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)| \geq \varepsilon \\ |\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)| < \varepsilon \end{matrix} \\
& \geq t \frac{1}{h_r^\rho} \sum_{k \in I_r} s(|\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)|) \\
& \quad \quad \quad \begin{matrix} |\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)| \geq \varepsilon \\ |\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)| < \varepsilon \end{matrix} \\
& \geq t \frac{1}{h_r^\rho} |\{k \in I_r : |\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\
& \geq \varepsilon |\{k \in I_r : |\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)| \geq \varepsilon\}| s(\varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\theta \in A$. As $|\{k \in I_r : |\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)| \geq \varepsilon\}|$ is a positive integer, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{h_r^\gamma} \sum_{k \in I_r} f(|\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)|) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{h_r^\rho} s(|\{k \in I_r : |\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)| \geq \varepsilon\}|) \frac{s(\varepsilon)}{s(1)} t = \\
& = \frac{s(|\{k \in I_r : |\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)| \geq \varepsilon\}|) s(h_r^\rho) s(\varepsilon)}{s(h_r^\rho) h_r^\rho s(1)} t.
\end{aligned}$$

Getting the limits on both sides as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $\{\mu_k\} \in N_\theta^\gamma(f)$ means $\{\mu_k\} \in S_\theta^\rho(s)$ since $\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s(w)}{w} > 0$.

Remark 3.2. Generally, contrary of the Theorem 2.3 could be impossible. Following example demonstrates this situation.

Example 2.2. Establish the FVS $\{\mu_k\}$ as in Example 2.1 and also take $s(w) = f(w) = w$.

Hence $\inf_{w \in (0, \infty)} \frac{f(w)}{s(w)} > 0$ and $\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s(w)}{w} > 0$. If we assume $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{1}{2} < \rho \leq 1$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s(h_r^\rho)} s(|\{k \in I_r : |\mu_k(\theta)| \geq \varepsilon\}|) \\
& = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{[\sqrt{h_r}]}{h_r^\rho} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\{\mu_k\} \in S_\theta^\rho(s)$. However, since

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r^\gamma} \sum_{k \in I_r} f(|\mu_k(\theta)|) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{[\sqrt{h_r}][\sqrt{h_r}]}{h_r^\gamma} = \infty,$$

as a result $\{\mu_k\} \notin N_\theta^\gamma(f)$.

Corollary 2.9. Assume f is an unbounded modulus, $\gamma, \rho \in (0,1]$ so that $\gamma \leq \rho$. If $\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(w)}{w} > 0$, then all strongly $N_\theta^\gamma(f)$ -convergent FVS is $S_\theta^\rho(f)$ -convergent.

Corollary 2.10. Assume f and g are unbounded modulus functions, $\gamma \in (0,1]$. If $\inf_{w \in (0, \infty)} \frac{f(w)}{s(w)} > 0$ and $\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s(w)}{w} > 0$, then all strongly $N_\theta^\gamma(f)$ -convergent FVS is $S_\theta^\gamma(s)$ -convergent.

Corollary 2.11. If $\inf_{u \in (0, \infty)} \frac{f(u)}{u} > 0$, then all strongly $N_\theta^\gamma(f)$ convergent FVS is S_θ^γ -convergent and also S_θ -convergent.

Theorem 2.4. Let f and g be any unbounded modulus functions, $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$, and assume $\theta = (k_r)$ and $\vartheta = (t_r)$ are lacunary sequences so that $I_r \subset I_{r'}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v_r}{h_r^\rho} = 1$ and $\sup_{w \in (0, \infty)} \frac{s(w)}{w} < \infty$, then all bounded and $S_\theta^\gamma(f)$ -convergent FVS is strongly $N_\theta^\rho(s)$ -convergent, namely,

$$\ell_\infty \cap S_\theta^\gamma(f) \subset N_\theta^\rho(s).$$

where $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$, $I_{r'} = (t_{r-1}, t_r]$, $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$, $v_r = t_r - t_{r-1}$.

Proof. Take $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$. Let $\{\mu_k\} \in \ell_\infty \cap S_\theta^\gamma(f)$ and $S_\theta^\gamma(f) - \lim \mu_k = \mu$. To confirm that

$\{\mu_k\} \in N_\theta^\rho(s)$, we have to demonstrate that $S_\theta^\gamma(f) \subset S_\theta^\gamma$. Considering f is a modulus and $S_\theta^\gamma(f) - \lim \mu_k = \mu$, for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a $r_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that, if $r > r_0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(|\{k \in I_r : |\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)| \geq \varepsilon\}|) &\leq \frac{1}{q} f(h_r^\gamma) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q} q f\left(\frac{h_r^\gamma}{q}\right) = f\left(\frac{h_r^\gamma}{q}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence,

$$\frac{1}{h_r^\gamma} |k \in I_r : |\mu_k(\theta) - \mu(\theta)| \geq \varepsilon| \leq \frac{1}{q}.$$

It follows that $S_\theta^\gamma(f) \subset S_\theta^\gamma$ and so $\ell_\infty \cap S_\theta^\gamma(f) \subset \ell_\infty \cap S_\theta^\gamma$. Since $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v_r}{h_r^\rho} = 1$, we get $\ell_\infty \cap S_\theta^\gamma \subset N_\theta^\rho$. Thereby $N_\theta^\rho \subset N_\theta^\rho(s)$ since $\sup_{w \in (0, \infty)} \frac{s(w)}{w} < \infty$. As a result, $\ell_\infty \cap S_\theta^\gamma(f) \subset N_\theta^\rho(s)$.

Remark 2.3. The inclusion $\ell_\infty \cap S_\theta^\gamma(f) \subset N_\theta^\rho(s)$ is strict.

Example 2.3. Let the lacunary sequence $\theta = (k_r)$ be provided and $\vartheta = \theta$. Identify the FVS (μ_k) as μ_k to be $[\sqrt[3]{h_r}]$ at the first $[\sqrt{h_r}]$ integers in I_r , and $\mu_k = 0$ if not. In addition, establish the modulus functions $f(w) = s(w) = w$. If we take $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\rho = 1$, then $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v_r}{h_r^\rho} = 1$ and $\sup_{w \in (0, \infty)} \frac{s(w)}{w} = 1 < \infty$. Since $\vartheta = \theta$, then for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{v_r^\rho} \sum_{k \in I_r'} s(|\mu_k(\theta)|) &= \frac{1}{v_r^\rho} \sum_{k \in I_r'} s([\sqrt[3]{v_r}]) \\ &= \frac{[\sqrt{v_r}][\sqrt[3]{v_r}]}{v_r}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{[\sqrt{v_r}][\sqrt[3]{v_r}]}{v_r} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, then $(\mu_k) \in N_\theta^\rho(s)$. However, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{f(h_r^\gamma)} f(|\{k \in I_r : |\mu_k(\theta)| \geq \varepsilon\}|) &= \frac{f([\sqrt{h_r}])}{f(h_r^\gamma)} \\ &= \frac{[\sqrt{h_r}]}{h_r^\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

So, $(\zeta_k) \notin S_\theta^\gamma(f)$ since $\frac{[\sqrt{h_r}]}{h_r^\gamma} \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for $0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{[\sqrt{h_r}]}{h_r^\gamma} \rightarrow 1$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$. As a result, the inclusion $\ell_\infty \cap S_\theta^\gamma(f) \subset N_\theta^\rho(s)$ is strict.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inf. Control, 8(3), 338–353, 1965.
- [2] A. Kaufmann, Introduction to the theory of fuzzy subsets, New York: Academic Press, 1975.
- [3] B. Liu and Y. K. Liu, Expected value of fuzzy variable and fuzzy expected value models, IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst., 10(4), 445–450, 2002.
- [4] B. Liu, Theory and practice of uncertain programming, Physica-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2002.
- [5] B. Liu, Inequalities and convergence concepts of fuzzy and rough variables, Fuzzy Optim. Decis. Mak., 2(2), 87–100, 2003.
- [6] B. Liu, A survey of credibility theory, Fuzzy Optim. Decis. Mak., 5(4), 387–408, 2006.
- [7] B. Liu, Uncertainty theory, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.
- [8] E. Savaş, Ö. Kişi and M. Gürdal, On statistical convergence in credibility space, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim., 43(8) (2022), 987–1008.
- [9] Ö. Kişi, M. Gürdal and E. Savaş, On lacunary convergence in credibility space, Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform., 37(4) (2022), 683–708.
- [10] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, Colloquium Mathematicae 2 1951, 241–244.
- [11] A.D. Gadjiev and C. Orhan, Some approximation theorems via statistical convergence, The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics 32 (2002), 129–138.
- [12] R. Çolak, Statistical convergence of order α , Modern Methods in Analysis and Its Applications, New Delhi, Anamaya Publishers (2010), 121–129.
- [13] J.A. Fridy and C. Orhan, Lacunary statistical convergence, Pacific J. Math. 160 (1993), 43–51.
- [14] M. Et and H. Şengül, Some Cesaro-Type summability spaces of order α and lacunary statistical convergence of order α , Filomat 28 (2014), 1593–1602.
- [15] H. Şengül and M. Et, f -Lacunary statistical convergence and strong f -lacunary summability of order α , Filomat 32 (2018), 4513–4521.
- [16] H. Nakano, Concave modulars, Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan 5 (1953), 29–49.
- [17] R. Çolak, Lacunary strong convergence of difference sequences with respect to a modulus function, Filomat 17 (2003), 9–14.
- [18] J. Connor, On strong matrix summability with respect to a modulus and statistical convergence, Canad. Math. Bull., 32 (1989), 194–198.
- [19] M. Et, Strongly almost summable difference sequences of order m defined by a modulus, Stud. Sci. Math. Hung. 40 (2003), 463–476.
- [20] I.S. Ibrahim, R. Çolak, On strong lacunary summability of order α with respect to modulus functions, Ann. Univ. Craiova Math. Comput. Sci. Ser., 48(1) (2021), 127–136.